Comparison of high-temperature DC poling and AC poling for lead perovskite relaxor-PbTiO₃ single crystals

Yohachi (John) Yamashita^{1,2†} Yushi Yamagata²,Yu Xiang², Haiyan Sun², Hiroshi Maiwa², Hwang-Pill Kim¹, and Xiaoning Jiang^{1*} (¹NC State Univ. USA; ²Shonan Inst. of Tech., Japan)

1. Introduction

Lead-based perovskite piezoelectric single crystals (SCs) such as binary Pb(Mg_{1/3}Nb_{2/3})O₃-PbTiO₃ (PMN-PT) and ternary Pb(In_{1/2}Nb_{1/2})O₃-PMN-PT have been utilized for ultrasonic sensors, actuators and imaging devices. Among these applications, ultrasound probes have been taking a center position since early 2000.1) For SC devices, poling procedures for these SCs are essential to obtain high piezoelectric and dielectric properties such as piezoelectric constant (d_{33}) and dielectric permittivity ($\epsilon_{33}^{T}/\epsilon_{0}$). Conventional direct current poling (DCP) with high temperature field cooling (HT-FC) has been used since the first discovery of these SCs in 1982.²⁻⁴⁾ Lin et al. reported that outstanding d_{33} (2200 pC/N) can be obtained by DCP with HT-FC from 260 °C to room temperature (RT) at 6 kV/cm, which is superior to DCP at RT (d_{33} = 1300 pC/N for PMN-0.28PT SC).⁴ Recently, alternating current poling (ACP), a novel and readily-controllable domain engineering method has attracted many researchers' interests owing to enhanced d_{33} and $\varepsilon_{33}^{T/\epsilon_0.5-11)}$ However, there is no report on systematic comparisons regarding the effectiveness of DCP with HT-FC and ACP processes for PMN-PT SCs grown by high composition and properties uniformity of SCs. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to compare electrical properties of DCP, FC-DCP and ACP poling conditions for PMN-0.30PT SCs grown by continuous feeding Bridgeman (CF-BM) process which has verified for composition and piezoelectric properties uniformity.^{11,12)}

2. Experimental procedure

[011]-seeded and [001]-oriented CF-BM PMN-0.30PT SC plates (L13 mm × W3 mm × T0.48 mm³, coercive field ($E_{\rm C}$) = 2.2 kV/cm at RT) were sputtered with NiCr/Au (30/200 nm) electrodes on their (001) -surfaces. DCP with HT-FC was performed from 180°C to RT by applying 3 kV/cm (LV), and 6 kV/cm (HV) in a temperature controlled electric oven. At 60 °C, the middle temperature (MT)-ACP processes were performed with a bipolar sine wave of 3 kVrms/cm at 0.2 Hz with 12 cycles, based on Wang and Sun *et al.*'s study.^{9,10} The standard (STD)-DCP process was conducted at 5 kV/cm for 1 min according to the IEEE standard as

comparisons.¹³⁾ Aging for 96 hours after each poling process. these piezoelectric and dielectric properties were evaluated with an impedance analyzer (Agilent Technology, 4194 A), and piezo d_{33} meter (ZJ-6B).

3. Results and discussion

Table I shows poling conditions and the averaged materials constants of PMN-PT SC with four different poling processes of (a) STD-DCP, (b) HT LV FC-DCP, (c) HT HV FC-DCP, and (d) MT-ACP. These material constants were measured at 25 °C. Figure 1 shows the temperature dependent $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ of PMN-PT SCs poled by (a) STD-DCP. The SCs showed phase change temperature (T_{R-T}) at 86 °C, loss peak temperature (T_c) at 140 °C, and maximum $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ temperature (T_m) at 143 °C, which is consistent with the JFE Mineral Co. report.¹²⁾ Figure 2 shows the $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ of PMN-PT SCs by four poling processes. The highest $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ of 10000 was obtained by (d) MT-ACP, followed by 7500 of (b) HT LV FC-ACP, 6250 of (c) HT LV FC-ACP and 6200 of (a) STD-DCP. Figure 3 shows the d_{33} of four poling processes of PMN-PT SCs. The highest d_{33} of 3000 pC/N was obtained by (d) MT- ACP, followed by 2500 pC/N of (b) HT LV FC-DCP (c) HT HV FC-DCP, and 1900 pC/N of STD-DCP. The (b) HT LV FC-DCP showed a 21% and 32% increase in $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ and d_{33} compared to the (a) STD DCP, respectively, however, not as much as the 62% and 58% improvement of the (d) MT-ACP. However, (c) HT HV FC-DCP SCs showed decreased properties caused by an over-poling effect of PMN-PT SCs.¹⁴⁾

Table I. Material constants of PMN-0.3PT SCs by four poling processes, (a) STD-DCP, (b) HT LV FC-DCP, (c) HT HV FC-DCP, and (d) MT-ACP.

			ĺ.		
Poling processs	STD-DCP	HT LV FC-DCP	HT HV FC-DCP	MT-ACP	
Voltage (kV/cm)	5	3	6	3	
Temperature (°C)	25	200-30	200-30	60	
Free dielectric constant	6190	7500	6250	10000	
Clamped dielectric constant	1140	1080	980	1350	
Dielectric loss (%)	0.38	0.25	0.3	0.27	
Calculated k 33 (%)	90	92.8	91.8	93.3	
kt (%)	59.5	60	58	60.5	
Nt (Hz•m)	1915	1910	1680	1920	
k ₃₁ (%)	45	53.5	56	47	
N ₃₁ (Hz•m)	770	605	630	570	
Sound velocity (m/sec.)	4580	4580	4380	4560	
d 33 (pC/N)	1900	2500	1910	3000	



Fig. 1. Temperature dependence of free dielectric constant of STD-DCP PMN-0.3PT SC grown by CF-Bridgman process.



Fig. 2. Free dielectric constant $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ of PMN-0.3PT SC by different poling process, STD-DCP, HT LV FC-DCP, HT HV FC-DCP, and MT-ACP.



Fig. 3. Piezoelectric strain constant d_{33} of PMN-0.3PT SC by different poling process, STD DCP, HT LV FC-DCP, HT HV FC-DCP, and MT-ACP.

4. Conclusions

We have investigated the effectiveness of poling processes and systematic comparisons for PMM-0.3PT SCs prepared by the CF-BM method with high compositional and properties uniformity by four processes (a) STD DCP, (b) HT LV FC-DCP, (c) HT HV FC-DCP, and (d) MT-ACP. The highest $\varepsilon_{33}^{T}/\varepsilon_{0}$ and d_{33} were obtained by the MT-ACP (ϵ_{33} ^T/ ϵ_0 = 10000, $d_{33} = 3000 \text{ pC/N}$, followed by HT LV FC-DCP (ϵ_{33} ^T/ ϵ_0 = 7500, d_{33} = 2500 pC/N), HT HV FC-DCP (ϵ_{33} ^T/ $\epsilon_0 = 6250$, $d_{33} = 1910$ pC/N), and STD-DCP (ϵ_{33} ^T/ $\epsilon_0 = 6200$, $d_{33} = 1900$ pC/N). The HT LV FC-DCP showed a 21% and 32% increase in ε_{33} ^T/ ε_0 and d_{33} compared to STD-DCP, respectively, however, not as much as the 62% and 58% improvement of the MT-ACP. These results provide a good guidance for the design of various piezoelectric devices based on PMN-PT SCs.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by ONR under Grant # N00014-21-1-2058 and Murata Science Foundation 2023.

References

- 1) Y, Yamashita et al. IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control, **69**, 11, 3048 (2022).
- 2) J. Kuwata et al. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., **21**, Part 1, no 9, 1298 (1982).
- 3) T. R. Shrout et al. Ferroelectric Lett. **12**, no 3, 63 (1990).
- 4) D Lin. Scripta Materialia 64, 1149 (2011).
- 5) N. Yamamoto et al. U.S. Patent 0062261 A1 (2014).
- 6) Y. Yamashita et al. U.S. Patent 0372219 A1 (2015).
- W-Y. Chang et al. Mater. Res. Lett. 6, 10, 537 (2018).
- 8) C. Que et al, Nature, 577, 350 (2020).
- H. Wan et al. Appl. Phys. Lett., 114,172901 (2019).
- 10) Y. Sun et al., IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control. **69**, 11, 3087 (2022).
- 11) H-P. Kim et al., IEEE Trans. Ultrason. Ferroelectr. Freq. Control. 69, 11, 3037 (2022).
- 12) K. Echizenya et al. J. Cryst. Growth, **531**, 125364 (2020).
- 13) IEEE Standard, P1859/D8, February 01, 2017.
- 14) H. Wan et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. **120**, 192901 (2022).